**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA**

**WRIT PETITION NO : 12542 of 2004**

**DATED: 07-03-2007**

**Between:**

K. Satyamu.

..Petitioner

And

The Superintendent Engineer, Operation Circle, APEPDCL, Vizianagara and another.

..Respondents

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.RAMANA**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 12542 of 2004**

**ORDER:**

The petitioner states that he worked as contract labour in the respondent-Corporation, and he attended the interview conducted for the post of Junior Lineman, as per B.P.Ms.No.36 dated 18.05.1997, issued by the respondent-Corporation for filling up 50% of existing vacancies in the initial recruitment cadres by ex-casual labour. His grievance is that in spite of considering the cases of similarly situated persons and appointed them as Junior Linemen by the respondents, so far they have not declared the results of the petitioner. Hence, he filed the present writ petition.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the respondent-Corporation.

3 A perusal of the affidavit filed by the petitioner in support of the writ petition does not disclose any particulars, namely, the nature of job and number of mandays he worked, in which division he worked, when the interview was conducted, by whom he was interviewed and how he is entitled for absorption under B.P.Ms.No.36. In the absence of any such particulars, no relief as sought by the petitioner can be granted to the petitioner.

4. The writ petition is misconceived and the same is accordingly dismissed. However, if the petitioner was interviewed, it is always open to him to make a representation to the authorities concerned to declare the result of the interview. No costs.

_____

**N.V. RAMANA, J.**

Date: 07.03.2007

sj/nsr